From: NectonSubstationAction Messenger
To: NorfolkVanquard@pins.qsi.gov.uk

Subject: Close of application

Date: 05 June 2019 07:30:53

Response to deadline 8 remarks

Dear Planning Inspectorate

We note from responses published that it appears very likely that the applicant will not complete the application examination by its closure on the 10th June. Nor is it likely they will have resolved all the issues of those affected by the project that remain, within the 5 days until 10th June 2019.

So it is with satisfaction that we recall that during the very first hearing, when asked by the applicant if there might be an extension to this application, the Inspector answered firmly that there would be no extensions.

We also note that since the accompanied site visits, the applicant has left unanswered almost all of the legitimate questions asked of them by residents of Necton, including those with regard to the hazardous nature of substations in general and theirs in particular, the lack of effective mitigation, the lack of care given to holiday let businesses endangered by this development, the fact that as our balloon showed, most residents of the area are going to see the substations (as opposed to the no-one seeing it, which the applicant has consistently claimed), and the fact that they are still ignoring landowners with easements on the land they propose to build on.

They have not introduced any new mitigation measures that will come even close to hiding the massive structures they intend to impose on the villages that surround their chosen site, probably because since the site visits, and our erection of the balloon close to the site, no-one can be in any doubt that effective mitigation is not possible, even by the end of the 25 year operational life of the project. They have treated residents with disdain and disregard, ignoring/belittling their fears, and acted with total arrogance toward them.

During their site visit the applicant's incorrect identification of Bradenham Wood as being Necton Wood, just goes to demonstrate that their mostly desk-based knowledge of the area is sadly lacking and deplorable. This was endorsed by the fact that they have claimed there is no low land on Top Farm, which was obviously not true as at one point we all stood together on that low land.

We thank the Planning Inspectors for their reassurance that each every representation sent in will be read by them and digested, even if they have been ignored by the applicant.

NSAG